PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 THE COURT: The court calls the case United States versus Pearline Richardson. 4 The court has received the presentence report, and 12:06:43 6 I'll ask the defendant, have you had the opportunity to review the presentence report? 7 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Were there any additions or 12:07:07 10 corrections that the defendant wished to bring to the 11 court's attention with respect to the presentence report? 12 I don't seem to have the report, Debbie. 13 COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: It's right there. 14 MR. BRYAN: Your Honor, just for the record, there 12:07:46 15 were disagreements as it related to the government's version 16 of the events as it relates to the investigation, but those 17 issues have been addressed and there's not an objection 18 raised or request to change that information because that's 19 the government's version of the events. 12:08:01 20 THE COURT: All right. Well, to quickly 2.1 summarize, the offense level is first discussed at page 14 2.2 of the presentence report, and it indicates that the base 23 offense level is a 30, and it adds two levels. The first 24 two levels are added because Sentencing Guidelines 12:08:41 25 2G1.3(b)(3) states that "If the offense involved the use of Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

	1	a computer or interactive computer service to (A) persuade,
	2	induce, entice, coerce, or facilitate the travel of the
	3	minor to engage in prohibited sexual conduct; or (B) entice,
	4	encourage, offer, or solicit a person to engage in
12:09:04	5	prohibited sexual conduct with the minor, increase by two
	6	levels."
	7	In this matter, the defendant took a photograph
	8	that the defendant used to advertise on Backpage.
	9	The second specific offense characteristic is that
12:09:20	10	"If the offense involved the commission of a sex act or
	11	sexual contact, then increase by two levels."
	12	In this case, the minor, S.J., clearly was
	13	subjected to a sex act or a sexual conduct; add two more
	14	levels. That gets us to 34.
12:09:36	15	There's a reduction of three levels for acceptance
	16	of responsibility, and I gather the government agrees with
	17	the third level for acceptance?
	18	MR. SULLIVAN: We do, Judge.
	19	THE COURT: So the adjusted offense level is 31.
12:09:50	20	So the total offense level is 31.
	21	The defendant's criminal history category is Roman
	22	Numeral IV, as I understand it.
	23	Is there any objection to the Roman Numeral IV
	24	criminal history category?
12:10:20	25	MR. BRYAN: No, Your Honor.

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 THE COURT: And then that leaves us with a sentencing range of 151 to 188 months. There's a mandatory 2 3 minimum of ten years, as I understand it. 4 And does the government have any recommendation under 5K1.1? 12:10:44 5 MR. SULLIVAN: No, Judge, we do not. 6 THE COURT: The defendant's counsel submitted a 7 8 very lengthy sentencing memorandum in favor of the defendant. It's been quite well done. And it is -- it is 9 obviously the basis of a motion for a downward variance. 12:11:10 10 11 Is that agreeable? 12 MR. BRYAN: That is, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Would you like to summarize it in any 14 way? I know you've done a great deal of work in this case. 12:11:29 15 MR. BRYAN: Your Honor, I would, and also, just 16 for the record, I want to address the issue of the 17 government's motion for substantial assistance. And, quite 18 frankly, I think some of what I am about to say regarding 19 that is in mitigation for Ms. Richardson as well as it 12:11:46 20 relates to her willingness to be cooperative. 2.1 As the court may have been aware or as the court 2.2 may remember, this case literally settled on the eve of 23 trial. There was a lot of back and forth over the -- the 24 months or the weeks leading up to the actual trial date in 12:12:05 25 this case.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:12:40 10

12:13:00 15

12:13:19 20

12:13:37 25

12:12:22

And this began as a multiple-count indictment, which ultimately resulted in Ms. Richardson by pleading by way of plea agreement to just the one count, the count involving the underaged individual in prostitution, S.J. As part of the plea agreement leading up to that, the government agreed to allow Ms. Richardson to proffer and to take into consideration the information that she provided in determining whether or not the government then will make a separate motion for downward departure, which could relieve Ms. Richardson of the mandatory minimum.

As the court may remember from the change of plea and things that have happened since that time,

Ms. Richardson was very much concerned about trying to earn the government's motion so that she could try to get a sentence below the mandatory minimum sentence of ten years.

It was her desire from the beginning of the case not to avoid responsibility for her criminal conduct, but to have it all put in the proper perspective so that she didn't go to prison for an extremely lengthy period of time, a period of time that she believes, ten years, would be an extremely lengthy period of time, and her motivation all along was her family and her children.

She has a 14-year-old son, I don't know if he's turned 15 since then, who's now living with her oldest child, her daughter, in Florida. And she's doing a very

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

1 good job, along with her husband, helping care for him. 2 They're all in court today, by the way, having traveled the 900 miles north from Florida to be here on their mother's 3 behalf for sentencing. Other family members are in the 4 courtroom as well. 12:13:55 5 And that was -- but Ms. Richardson was never 6 7 trying to avoid responsibility. She was trying to avoid a 8 lengthy sentence. And she sat down with the government on 9 two occasions. 12:14:10 10 I was present during the first occasion, and 11 Ms. Kucharski was present during the second occasion. In 12 our perception, and also I believe in the agent's 13 perception, Ms. Richardson gave information in a truthful 14 manner. The information that she provided regarding her 12:14:26 15 knowledge of sex trafficking in the City of Cleveland 16 involved information regarding S.J. and how she met S.J. and 17 the fact that she was exploited by some other individual who 18 was running an after-hours club and was prostituting S.J. at 19 this after-hours club. 12:14:45 20 This other individual is known to the government; 21 obviously, is known to Ms. Richardson. He's been identified 2.2 by the street name of Tokyo, but the government knows who 23 that individual is and has not only identified him, but has 24 investigated him as well. 12:15:01 25 Unfortunately, I don't believe they believe that

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

1 Ms. Richardson's words alone, maybe even if S.J. would acknowledge that she worked on this gentleman's behalf, 2 3 would be sufficient to try to bring a case against him. But in addition to that information, 4 Ms. Richardson had knowledge of other criminal conduct that 12:15:17 5 she provided to the government's agents. 6 7 And just this past Wednesday afternoon, and I 8 think it's important, just for the purposes of the record, 9 we received an E-mail from Agent Kolonick, who's at the government's table today, which stated the following: 12:15:37 10 11 "All: Today I spoke with Special Agent Frank 12 Brown, IRS. He has all the information and they're looking 13 into it. The main problem is is we have no idea where the 14 subject has her bank accounts. This is going to take time 12:15:52 15 and there is no way we will have any results before 16 sentencing. IRS has to show a significant loss or several 17 subjects before they can open a full case. 18 "As I mentioned, I believe Pearline Richardson is 19 telling the truth, but the information is dated and by no 12:16:09 20 means significant at this time. 21 "I wanted all of you to know where we are with 2.2 this information given during the proffer. If you have any 23 questions, let me know." 24 In essence, Ms. Richardson provided information to 12:16:19 25 the agents during her proffer that concerned an individual

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

whom Ms. Richardson knows who was engaged in preparing false income tax returns, not only, in fact, was a customer of hers during a period of time when she submitted income tax returns that were fraudulent and which resulted in individuals getting refunds to which they weren't entitled.

And the allegation was this was done on a very large scale, and even while this person was working for a tax preparer's office, so it is significant information.

Unfortunately, the government has not had the opportunity to fully investigate that.

Notwithstanding that, I've been in federal sentences in the past where information have provided similar truthful information that the government has begun investigations with and they were still in a position to be able to make a motion, even if it was just for a level of substantial assistance.

But the government's choosing not to do that in Ms. Richardson's case; and, admittedly, the government has wide discretion to be able to do that. But for the sake of the record, I wanted to place this on the record, because obviously, their discretion isn't to the extent that they can't refuse to seek substantial assistance for an unconstitutional reason such as race or religion or something like that.

I am not alleging that at all. I'm not alleging
Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

12:16:43 5

12:17:01 10

12:17:15 15

12:17:29 20

12:17:47 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:18:20 10

12:18:35 15

12:18:51 20

12:19:06 25

12:18:04

that the government's not choosing to exercise their authority, their discretion to move at this time for substantial assistance for Ms. Richardson because of an unconstitutional reason. But, however, the cases go on to say that there has to be a substantial threshold showing of an improper motive; and, quite frankly, I'm not sure that I am able to demonstrate an improper motive at this time as well.

But if I don't make the record at sentencing, then
I -- it's plain error on review and I don't have the
opportunity to argue it later.

But I believe that Ms. Richardson held up her end of the bargain. I believe she cooperated in good faith. I believe she provided truthful information to the government. I believe that information was helpful to the government as it related to all the information that Ms. Richardson provided; albeit, it may be dated, according to the government, but I believe at least as it relates to this one instance, there is significant information for the government to go forward as it relates to this IRS investigation regarding tax fraud.

And just for the record, I believe that

Ms. Richardson has done everything she needed to do to earn

substantial assistance and just want to place that on the

record before I go too far into it.

1 THE COURT: Very well. I am concerned about paragraphs 74, 75 and 76 in the presentence report. I will 2 3 read into the record what they set forth. "On July 21, 2011, while being transported to the 4 Cuyahoga County Jail by the U.S. Marshal Service as an 12:19:28 5 inmate in the instant federal case, the defendant threatened 6 7 an FBI task force officer. 8 "She was heard stating the FBI agent should be 9 She admitted to her statement when confronted. explained she made a threat because she was upset about her 12:19:46 10 11 case and she apologized to the Deputy U.S. Marshal 12 continuously." 13 Next paragraph, "On July 26, 2011 and August 1, 14 2011, while an inmate in instant federal case, being housed 12:20:07 15 at the Cuyahoga County Jail" -- I didn't state that quite 16 right. 17 "On July 26, 2011 and August 1, 2011, while an 18 inmate in the instant federal case, being housed at the 19 Cuyahoga County Jail, other female inmates reported to jail 12:20:26 20 staff that the defendant was recruiting them to work as 21 prostitutes for her once they were released from jail. 2.2 These other inmates were moved/separated from the defendant. 23 "On August 3, 2011, while an inmate in the instant 24 federal case, while housed at the Cuyahoga County Jail, the defendant became upset at jail staff when she was told she 12:20:46 25

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

was being moved from one pod to another.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:21:17 10

12:21:39 15

12:21:52 20

12:22:04 25

12:21:02

"She was banging her cell door with her crutches and threatening to physically harm other female inmates.

Other female inmates who were pregnant verbalized they feared the defendant because she had threatened to hurt their unborn children."

That's pretty egregious conduct that's set forth in the presentence report. And I wonder if the defendant in any way wishes to respond to that.

MR. BRYAN: Your Honor, we have addressed with the court, I believe, paragraph 75. We filed an affidavit that we secured from the individual who gave a 302 to the FBI regarding those allegations in paragraph 75.

An individual by the name of Rachel Hamilton said that she was detained at the Cuyahoga County Justice Center in July 2011. She was detained in the -- among these female federal inmates. Among these female inmates was Federal Inmate Pearline Richardson.

"I was brought down to the detective bureau to meet with two federal task force officers. I did not initiate this meeting. I was shown a picture of Pearline Richardson and asked if I knew her and what was going on in the pod.

"I told these investigators that I personally did not hear Pearline Richardson attempt to recruit women to

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

work for her prostitution business, but that the rumor -- but that that was the rumor going around the pod.

"I told them this was all secondhand information shared with me by other female inmates who did not like Pearline Richardson."

So we had an FBI 302 from this individual where it states in the 302 where she said that Ms. Richardson was recruiting people, but she told our -- actually, she told Ms. Kucharski, when she located this individual, that she told them just what the rumor was.

Part of the problem was that Ms. Richardson's arrest was publicized by the local media. In fact, I think it was promoted, in essence, by the Public Affairs Office of the U.S. Attorney's Office. They now have a person who works on behalf of the U.S. Attorney as a liaison with the press.

And I believe even press conferences were held regarding this. And because of that, Ms. Richardson was the target of a lot of the people in the jail saying, "You want to recruit me," and that kind of thing. And, quite frankly, Ms. Richardson was just very upset because this is when she was first arrested.

As it related to paragraph 74 -- oh, again,
Ms. Kucharski learned that all of the women who were making
allegations that Ms. Richardson was trying to recruit them

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

12:22:36 10

12:22:53 15

12:23:11 20

12:22:22

1 for prostitution actually were previously engaged in prostitution themselves, and we recovered their arrest 2 3 records for prostitution and the like. So Ms. Richardson, it's our position, was, in 4 essence, the victim of a rumor mill and a lot of harassment 12:23:54 5 because of the high-profile nature of her case, the fact 6 that it was publically -- it was in the news media. 7 8 THE COURT: Let me tell you what I am concerned 9 about here. 12:24:09 10 It appears to me that Ms. Richardson has made 11 almost a life history out of being involved in prostitution. 12 Her only concern was the claim that she didn't know the girl 13 she was prostituting for was under 18. But there's never 14 been an indication that she follows any other pursuit other 12:24:31 15 than prostitution. 16 MR. BRYAN: I disagree with that, Your Honor. 17 think the record from the presentence investigation itself 18 and also the sentencing memorandum we filed reflects how and 19 when Ms. Richardson got involved in prostitution. 12:24:44 20 And I was prepared to address that as part of 21 my sentencing colloquy. 2.2 THE COURT: I wish you would. 23 MR. BRYAN: I just wanted to preserve the 24 substantial assistance issue on the record, and I've done 12:24:55 25 that. But now I will turn my attention to the sentencing

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

issues as it relates to sentencing.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:25:26 10

12:25:47 15

12:26:05 20

12:26:22 25

12:25:15

Obviously, 3553(a) is the controlling statute, and it indicates that Your Honor is to take into consideration the nature and circumstance the offense, which I am about to discuss, and the history and characteristics of the defendant to impose a sentence that's sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to accomplish the purposes and goals of sentencing.

Your Honor, as it relates to this offense conduct, the genesis of this case was actually Ms. Richardson herself contacting law enforcement when the minor in this case, S.J., had stolen from her and went back to her home. After she was told she no longer wanted her to work with her in her ring, the minor went back to Ms. Richardson's home, and she wasn't there, and she stole a big screen television set.

And the neighbors reported to Ms. Richardson what had happened, so Ms. Richardson actually contacted law enforcement to tell them -- to report this burglary of her home. When law enforcement then approached the minor in this case, there was also present the minor's mother and the minor's -- who was identified as her brother -- Reggie, but we learn later that she doesn't have a brother named Reggie. So we're not sure who this other individual was.

But anyway, the individual, when the law enforcement was talking to her about being -- having stolen

2.2

12:26:53 10

12:27:06 15

12:27:26 20

12:27:41 25

12:26:39

from Ms. Richardson, this individual named Reggie, and this is all pursuant to the police reports in this case, indicated to S.J., the minor, "You need to tell them about the prostitution."

And so, in essence, the focus got flipped from the minor being investigated for burglary to then the minor telling them about the prostitution.

Now, the minor herself never admitted to being involved in prostitution at that time, but said that

Ms. Richardson was running a prostitution ring, and then later the minor did acknowledge that she was involved in the prostitution.

What the evidence revealed is that this wasn't something that Ms. Richardson had been doing all of her life. In fact, Ms. Richardson, the presentence investigation report reflects from the time she was very young, in fact, basically on her own as a teenager, and growing up and living on her own and having her miscarriage when she was 16 years old and then having her daughter who's in court today when she was 16 years old, was working fast-food restaurants, was working in the community, was doing everything she could to try to survive in the community working various odd jobs and staying away from the criminal element.

And she continued to do that up until the Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

beginning of 2000 when she was involved in an automobile accident that was alcohol related, and she was incarcerated for that for aggravated vehicular assault.

And she was sentenced to prison and went to prison for three years on that. And also at the same time, there was a RICO investigation, some sort of fraud activity, which Ms. Richardson actually cooperated in, but she was sentenced -- also a sentence to run concurrent with the time that she was serving on that -- on the vehicular assault case.

Ms. Richardson was released on that case, and in 2007, she came back to the community. She was back with her children, with her daughter, with her two sons, and she was working legitimate jobs. She was working at various places. And the best job that she had was working as a telemarketer selling AT&T products.

Unfortunately, there was something that happened at AT&T, or not AT&T, but the telemarketer for whom she was working, and she was let go. I don't think she was fired. She was laid off because of the downturn in the economy, and she lost that employment.

After that, she started working at various night clubs in the greater Cleveland area and she -- one of her roles working at these night clubs was to organize dancers and organize parties, like bachelor parties when men would

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1

2

3

4

5

6

12:27:56

2.2

12:29:40 10

12:30:01 15

12:30:17 20

12:30:32 25

12:29:22

come in to have these bachelor parties, and there were dancers at these night clubs that she would organize these parties with.

And these were individuals that were just engaged in dancing, exotic dancing, sometimes removing clothing and things like that. But they weren't engaged in prostitution at that time.

It was while she was working in that capacity that there were two dancers who enlightened her about this Backpage USA, which is this online advertisement for -- you never online, you don't advertise prostitution, but what is advertised is massages, dances, things like that. I think the government would be the first to acknowledge that that's basically just a front for prostitution, and that this is a big problem nationwide, that literally, you know, nationwide, thousands, if not tens of thousands of individuals are engaged in advertising their services through this Backpage.com.

In fact, it's a multibillion dollar enterprise now. This corporation has made tens of millions, if not hundreds of millions of dollars, selling these advertisements. I think the government has a beef with Backpage as well. They know a lot of the people who advertise may not be -- first of all, it's all illegal, because prostitution is illegal in most states. But it's

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:31:08 10

12:31:32 15

12:31:51 20

12:32:10 25

12:30:51

also not uncommon for minors to be involved in this as well.

So anyway, Ms. Richardson then learned how to do this through a couple of dancers and she started with a couple of other individuals she had met through the night clubs who said they were interested in engaging in prostitution in this manner.

And Ms. Richardson then gradually over time, in essence, became like a manager of women who wanted to use this service, this Backpage.com service, and she also then started running prostitution out of her home.

Now, based upon our investigation, there were — and this may seem to incriminate, but I think at the same time, exculpatory, there were multiple women, I could characterize even dozens of women who advertised with Ms. Richardson through this Backpage.com, and based upon our investigation, none of them were minors except for this S.J., and that Ms. Richardson actually learned of S.J. when she was in an after-hours club and S.J. was a dancer at this after-hours club. And this was part of the information that she provided in her proffer to the FBI, that that's how she first learned of her.

Now, S.J. clearly was a minor, but she's physically developed. She's post-pubescent. She looks like an adult. In fact, out of the individuals that we investigated in this matter, the adult individuals who were

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

involved, as well as S.J., she was clearly physically just 1 2 as mature as the other adults who were engaged in this 3 activity. The bottom line is that if not for Ms. Richardson 4 reporting S.J. to law enforcement, it's very unlikely that 12:32:33 5 Ms. Richardson would have ever come to the attention of law 6 enforcement in this matter. 7 8 Now, it is important to note that as part of the 9 record, and this was in previous court filings, that S.J. left a message with Ms. Richardson on her voice mail after 12:32:51 10 11 Ms. Richardson had reported her to the law enforcement, and

THE COURT: Threatened her?

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:33:10 15

12:33:27 20

12:33:46 25

MR. BRYAN: "I'm a minor. I'm a minor, and, you know, you're going to be in trouble." And then S.J. obviously was cooperating with law enforcement against, and had a stronger motive to cooperate with law enforcement against Ms. Richardson, because Ms. Richardson had reported her own criminal conduct to the police.

that message, I can't repeat it verbatim, in essence, is

what she said is, "You're a crass ole, dumb ole bitch."

And it was S.J. who took law enforcement to see one of the first other complainants in this case, a girl identified as Sky, who then made a statement against

Ms. Richardson, and then other individuals. One of the individuals was there at the home when Ms. Richardson's

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:34:13 10

12:34:34 15

12:34:49 20

12:35:02 25

12:34:02

house was raided. She's also someone who made a statement against Ms. Richardson.

Now, I don't know if the government's arguing that it's relevant conduct, that this counts as relevant conduct, but I believe there were good fact-based reasons for the government to dismiss those counts against the adults whom the government allege --

THE COURT: One of the things that troubles me is the count that the government did not prosecute, and that's the one dealing with the pornographic materials that she had and she helped produce, as I understand, and that count was the last count in the indictment, and that was dismissed.

I think that was a very serious count that is out of the picture from the standpoint of sentencing, but it certainly reflected very negative conduct on the part of your client.

MR. BRYAN: Well, the pictures -- and

Ms. Kucharski and I had an opportunity to view all the

images. The pictures that were located, there was an issue

regarding who was the one who actually took the pictures.

They were not taken on a digital camera that was owned by

Ms. Richardson.

They were actually identified as taken on a digital camera that was owned by one of the government's witnesses in this case, a person identified Toni Walcott.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:35:44 10

12:36:03 15

12:36:22 20

12:36:37 25

12:35:25

She was actually in the pictures as well. She was in the pictures along with S.J. and they were both -- they were both clothed in some of -- in those images. They were actually engaged in suggestive poses with each other, but they were wearing a negligee.

So the government's arguments related to lascivious display -- now, Ms. Richardson never acknowledged to taking those pictures or even telling them to pose or anything like that, but those were images that were used and created by that digital camera, but then were placed on the computer that was found that Ms. -- that belonged to Ms. Richardson.

Now, other images just were images that were used on Backpage, as well, for advertisements, and the government alleged that some of those were child pornography as well, because, again, the lascivious display. But although this is a person who's chronologically a minor, physiologically, for all intents and purposes, has the appearance of an adult, or someone who could just as easily be 20 years old or even older based upon her physiological appearance.

So I understand Your Honor's concern about that, but I think there were fact-based reasons for the government to be willing to dismiss that count as well.

The bottom line is, we're here today for the underaged prostitution, and those cases vary greatly. There

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

1 are cases where the defendants know that the person is a minor at the time that they're using them in the 2 3 prostitution as compared to being reckless in that regard. There are cases where the minor is even 4 prepubescent as compared to a post-pubescent minor. 12:36:54 5 are cases where the minor had been kidnapped and held 6 against her will or taken from one state to another or 7 8 brought from another country. 9 THE COURT: Your point is this is not an egregious 12:37:11 10 case? 11 MR. BRYAN: I would submit, as it relates to other sex trafficking cases, this falls on the edge of promoting 12 13 prostitution, but getting into big trouble because one of 14 the prostitutes just happened to be a minor. 12:37:29 15 THE COURT: You want to speak about your client 16 with respect to other matters? I read carefully about 17 her historical background, and it appears to me that she 18 had, to put it mildly, a very difficult childhood. 19 MR. BRYAN: Your Honor, I think that maybe one of 12:37:47 20 the -- I think the important dichotomies in this case is her 21 childhood appears to be very similar to the childhood that 2.2 the minor in this case is experiencing or was experiencing, 23 and I believe still is experiencing to this day. 24 She's someone who came from a broken home. never knew her father. Her mother abandoned her. Her 12:38:03 25

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

	1	grandmother did everything she could to try to raise her,
	2	but unfortunately, there were lots of other children that
	3	the grandmother was trying to raise at the same time. You
	4	know, she was a victim of her upbringing and her poverty.
12:38:20	5	She did suffer sexual abuse as a minor, as a young child at
	6	the hands of one of her mother's boyfriends. She also
	7	reported being sexually assaulted as an adult as well.
	8	All along, her main goal in life, especially after
	9	her children were born, was to try to provide for her
12:38:38	10	children in the manner in which she wasn't.
	11	THE COURT: She has three children?
	12	MR. BRYAN: She has three children. All three are
	13	present in the courtroom today, the oldest being 23 and her
	14	youngest son, Requan, who's 15, and her other son who's 20.
12:39:00	15	THE COURT: I appreciate the family appearing.
	16	MR. BRYAN: And I think it's also important to
	17	note as it relates to the victim in this case is that she
	18	wasn't being held against her will. She was able to come
	19	and go. She did come and go. She left.
12:39:15	20	She was there in fact, we had a lot of evidence
	21	that she contacted Ms. Richardson and continued to contact
	22	her. When Ms. Richardson left town and was visiting her
	23	daughter in Florida, she was contacting Ms. Richardson
	24	because she wanted to work, things of that nature.
12:39:31	25	And I think all those things mitigate against the

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:40:07 10

12:40:20 15

12:40:37 20

12:40:56 25

12:39:48

type of sex trafficking case when you think of even

President Obama, in front of the Clinton Global Initiative

spend an entire speech before the Clinton Global Initiative,

talking about how his administration has been working hard

against human slavey, especially as it relates to sex

trafficking, even here in the United States.

And so there is a big -- there is a big push to address these concerns, and what I am suggesting is that this case doesn't represent the most aggravated case of sex trafficking. In fact, if S.J. was an adult, there wouldn't be a federal case.

This would be a case where Ms. Richardson would be looking at promoting prostitution, because prostitution is illegal in the state of Ohio.

It also would be a case that if they were in Nevada, she would have to make sure that she was properly licensed and that the health department was coming in to make sure that she was running her legal prostitution brothel appropriately.

So there's a nationwide -- I mean, there's sort of a schizophrenic approach to these vice crimes that make it difficult for me, as a defense attorney, to say that

Ms. Richardson should be looking at a mandatory minimum of ten years in prison, when but for, you know, a different set of circumstances, she may actually be able to engage in this

	1	same behavior legally, not with the minor, of course, but
	2	the overall behavior legally in other states, and she's
	3	properly licensed and the like.
	4	So, again, 120 months is a significant sentence.
12:41:14	5	I think it's much greater than necessary to accomplish the
	6	purposes and goals of sentencing as it relates to
	7	Ms. Richardson. I did hope for her that we would have the
	8	opportunity to even go below that based upon her
	9	cooperation. I still have hope that the government will at
12:41:31	10	some time within the
	11	THE COURT: The government always has the power to
	12	make I think it's a Rule 35 motion.
	13	MR. BRYAN: Within a year.
	14	THE COURT: But the court doesn't have any
12:41:41	15	control.
	16	MR. BRYAN: We understand.
	17	THE COURT: I hope the people realize that we have
	18	what we call a separation of powers in this country, and the
	19	court does not control the executive branch of the
12:41:51	20	government, and it's the executive branch of the government
	21	that has to decide what it wishes to do, A, with the
	22	question whether there was substantial assistance; and, B,
	23	whether or not to file a Rule 35 motion. I don't have any
	24	control over that.
12:42:04	25	MR. BRYAN: We understand, Your Honor, and I've

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 explained that to Ms. Richardson as well. That doesn't minimize her disappointment regarding that and her --2 3 THE COURT: In any event, maybe to summarize, you 4 believe based on the background of the defendant, her difficult upbringing, the fact that she's, from all 12:42:22 5 accounts, been an excellent mother, and also the rather 6 7 unusual way in which this criminal offense was discovered, 8 all of which in your view is warranted for a downward 9 variance? 12:42:43 10 MR. BRYAN: It is, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I appreciate how hard you've worked 12 for your client, and I will give the government the 13 opportunity to respond on the issue of variance. 14 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Judge. 12:42:53 15 First, I guess I am just going to go in order, I 16 want to address Mr. Bryan's comments regarding the refusal 17 of the government to make the 5K motion, and I think I wrote 18 it down. I don't know. 19 THE COURT: Let me say, first of all, you don't 12:43:10 20 have to justify that, that's --21 MR. SULLIVAN: Well, I now, but he actually made 2.2

the comment that he's not sure that he can show improper motive, and I want to invite him that if he thinks he has a shred of evidence of an improper motive, to bring it on, because there's none. There's none.

23

24

12:43:21 25

1 She didn't give anything. She didn't do any substantial assistance. She gave dated information of stuff 2 3 that the FBI already knew, and she tried to flip in somebody else she knew that was engaged in tax fraud. It's -- okay. 4 It's a lead. That's not 5K. It's a lead. 12:43:34 5 6 This is a person who's committing illegal activity. They're going to refer to the right agency to 7 8 look into it. It wasn't given enough time to look into 9 anything, and it wasn't substantial. It's an identification 12:43:45 10 of someone who might be engaging in fraud. 11 So to say that he's not sure that he can show it's 12 an improper motive is despicable. He knows he cannot show 13 improper motive. She didn't do substantial assistance. 14 Moving on. As far as the -- whether or not she recruited 12:43:57 15 16 somebody in jail, I know they got a statement from some 17 other inmate who said, "Oh, what I said before to the FBI 18 isn't true." Okay. 19 But there were two other ones who gave statements 12:44:09 20 to the FBI that she was trying to recruit in jail. So as 21 far as this statement in paragraph 75, the government stands 2.2 by it and says -- we still say that the evidence supports 23 that she was trying to recruit other women in the jail to 24 engage in prostitution. 12:44:21 25 As far as the photos that you indicated you had a

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

concern about the charge that was going to be dismissed regarding production of child pornography, and it's almost comical, Mr. Bryan said that he's not sure who took the photos because there was another person involved. But then he admits that the other person involved was actually depicted in the photos with the minor engaged in sexual activity.

Well, that would leave one person taking the photo. It was Ms. Richardson. That's what both of those other two people said happened, and that's what the evidence would show happened since two of them are in the photo, the third person would be the photographer. That's really not a stretch.

And since we're talking about preponderance of the evidence, we think it certainly makes that a preponderance of the evidence.

As far as whether or not we consider the other acts relevant conduct, we do. And, again, there were several reasons, obviously, that went beyond why this case worked out in a plea, and it certainly was going to be -there's no doubt that there were going to be some credibility issues with some of the witnesses or the victims, and the government is cognizant of that, and it resulted in plea negotiations.

> But that's -- but that calculus is determining Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1

2

12:44:48 10

12 13

11

8

9

14

12:44:58 15

17

16

18 19

12:45:10 20

21 2.2

23

24

12:45:22 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:45:48 10

12:46:02 15

12:46:13 20

12:46:27 25

12:45:36

whether or not we think we can prove a case beyond a reasonable doubt. We're at sentencing now. It's beyond preponderance of the evidence.

So we fully assert to the court that the facts contained that support those counts is certainly relevant conduct and this court can consider it. We certainly believe that there's preponderance of the evidence that she engaged in the activity that lead to those counts.

Now, there's reasonable cause. There was -- she was indicted for it. We certainly think there was preponderance of the evidence to show that she did engage in coercion, she did engage in intimidation.

So this case, while I understand that they submitted a lengthy sentencing memorandum, the boo-hooing about Ms. Richardson's past, but it's somewhat ironic that they said because she had such a troubled childhood, it's okay that she exploit another child and was going to lead her down the very same path that she now is trying to use as an excuse.

But other than that, the fact is, these other counts support the fact that it wasn't just that the child was a minor. We think there's a preponderance of the evidence she was engaged in intimidation. She was engaging in coercion. This was not entirely voluntary on the part of these other women and on the part of the minor. And there

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

was evidence that she engaged in physical violence. She engaged in threats.

She engaged in -- there's evidence -- and they're well aware of it, there's evidence of a recording of her going on, yelling and screaming in quite a violent nature. There's certainly evidence that she engaged in intimidation and violence. We think that goes to, in part, the balancing.

Again, we understand the unfortunate situation she may have had growing up might be -- when the court is trying to balance reasons for a variance, that might be one part.

But the other side is also the egregiousness of this conduct, and it is egregious. It might not be someone who was kidnapped, and Mr. Bryan can list a variety of cases that could be worse, but it's not the most mild either.

We have evidence that -- first of all, admittedly, that she was prostituting a minor, and then the preponderance of the evidence, we would submit, is for the relevant conduct of the other counts that showed she was engaging in intimidation and violence with these -- or coercion with these other women.

So we don't think a variance is supported at all.

Mr. Bryan makes a comment about, you know, if it
wasn't -- whatever, if we were in Nevada; well, it makes no
sense. We're in Ohio. We're in the Northern District of

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1

2

3

4

6

7

12:46:39

1 Ohio, and the child was a minor. So to say that -- what did 2 he say? Chronologically, she is a minor, but 3 physiologically --THE COURT: "Schizophrenic" was the word about 4 this country with respect to prostitution. 12:47:52 5 MR. SULLIVAN: What was it? 6 7 THE COURT: Schizophrenic. 8 MR. SULLIVAN: He was promoting the fact that the 9 child physiologically didn't look like a minor, but chronologically she was. 12:48:03 10 11 I think, having been a prosecutor for 24 years, I am pretty sure that would be the purpose behind crimes that 12 13 have statutes of ages of consent, because children 14 physiologically may develop quicker than they do mentally, 12:48:18 15 so there's purposes in why we pass these laws, and that's 16 why we're here for things such as we are. 17 Judge, in sum, this case obviously was a 18 contentious case throughout, and the defendant is getting --19 did get quite a benefit from this plea. She was facing 12:48:35 20 15-year minimum mandatories on all the counts alleged before us, as well as the alleged count of production of child 21 2.2 pornography. 23 And she's now facing a ten-year minimum mandatory. 24 She's got a quite a benefit in this case, and we don't think 12:48:46 25 there's any reason -- and keeping in mind the lengthy

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

defense sentencing memorandum, when balanced against the relevant conduct in this case and everything else about Ms. Richardson, we don't think there's any reason to vary downward and we would ask you not to vary downward.

THE COURT: Response?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:49:14 10

12:49:32 15

12:49:55 20

12:50:09 25

12:49:02

MR. BRYAN: Yes, Your Honor.

First, as it relates to chronologically versus physiologically, I think I should have added behaviorally as well, because our investigation revealed that S.J. was going to night clubs and drinking in bars and smoking cigarettes and going and purchasing cigarettes, which you have to be 18 to do in any convenient store all around, engaging in drug-related activity, selling drugs.

Ms. Richardson first met her when she was acting as an exotic dancer in an after-hours club that she was engaged in sex acts in public at an after-hours club, involving things that not only with someone who appeared to be an adult, but was acting like an adult.

So there's a chronological age that comes into play here, and there's a physiologically agent, behavioral agent, when someone is acting like an adult and they look like an adult and when you're in that part of society where you're at an after-hours club, and there's alcohol and there's drug abuse and sex acts going on in front of lots of people, if you're in that environment, too, admittedly

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:50:44 10

12:50:59 15

12:51:14 20

12:51:31 25

12:50:27

Ms. Richardson was, it may be easy to believe that the person is a consenting adult.

Now, the reason she admitted to being reckless in

this case is, quite frankly, prostitution is risky business.

And if you're going to promote it and you're going to involve other people in it or allow other people -- allow yourself to be used in it by assisting other people to be involved in prostitution, then you need to dot all of your I's, cross all your T's, be as vigilant as you can to make sure that the persons whom you are using are of an appropriate age to be able to consent to the activity that they're engaging in.

That's the theory upon which Ms. Richardson admitted her guilt in this case, and that's the appropriate theory that we had to face if we went to trial, because the government doesn't have to prove knowledge.

If the government has its way and Congress, if it gets the laws passed exactly the way they want them passed, and there's not only a lack -- they don't have to prove actual knowledge, they just have to prove for recklessness.

And what the heck is recklessness? So that was the fear that we had going to trial against a count where the government didn't have to prove actual knowledge.

As it related to the child pornography case, they didn't have to prove actual knowledge that the child was

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:52:02 10

12:52:22 15

12:52:38 20

12:52:54 25

12:51:49

under the age of 18 to be able to subject her to a mandatory minimum of a 15-year prison sentence, and there weren't just three people that day. In fact, there were images of other people that were sitting on the couch.

Our investigation revealed that there were six individuals there that day, not just three. There were other individuals there. There were other individuals who could have taken the pictures upon which the government wanted to rely.

The bottom line is, Your Honor, this case gives us a view into a very sordid and sad world, an extremely sordid and sad world. And, quite frankly, it was a world that

Ms. Richardson was born into as it relates to the presentence investigation report and the psychological evaluation.

This is the world she grew up in. This is the world that she was exposed to. But even being exposed to such a negative world, Ms. Richardson still strived to live a law-abiding life, and even after going to prison for an auto accident where alcohol was involved, she still strived to live a law-abiding life.

And it was only after a downturn in the economy where she lost her law-abiding job and she started working in this other area working in the red light district as it relates to night clubs and exotic dancers and things like

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

this, that she then got involved in this other very terrible and sad part of life.

It's a life that exists, Your Honor, that most of us aren't exposed to within federal courthouses, but even legitimate pornography, if there is such a term, even lawful pornography is a multibillion dollar business. Sex sells in this country. It sells whether it's being done legally or whether it's being done illegally. It sells and people use it to benefit themselves financially.

Ms. Richardson has admitted to doing that herself. But this is not the case of a prepubescent-known minor being taken against her will and being forced into prostitution from another country. This is that borderline case where physiologically, emotionally, behaviorally, this young lady appeared to be as much as an adult as the other individuals who were engaged in this conduct with Ms. Richardson who were not the subject matter of this case, even the earlier case where there was an indictment. There are many other individuals known to the government who were not alleged to have been forced into this activity.

In fact, individuals who admitted to us that they were involved in this, but they were never forced. It was, you know, if you wanted to earn some money, you call, you come over, you do a few appointments, you have the money that you earn and you leave. Nobody was held against their

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1

2

12:53:56 15

17

18

16

13

14

19

12:54:12 20

21

23

24

12:54:27 25

will, even by a preponderance of the evidence.

So this is a case that, I think, warrants mitigation. It warrants statutorily a sentence that's sufficient, but not greater than necessary. I believe the statutory mandatory minimum is greater than necessary. We don't have a vehicle to go below it.

And I would also submit that, based upon what I observed, and based upon what the agents told me and based upon what Ms. Kucharski observed during the proffer, Ms. Richardson was forthright. She was honest about all the information she provided, that she provided leads to the government. I've sat through dozens of proffers throughout my career where the government has received less and still made the motion for substantial assistance.

As far as an improper motive not to seek it, I think the motive on the government's part is this: They don't want to seek a variance on be- -- seek the motion on behalf of Ms. Richardson because they fear that this court will take advantage of that motion and sentence her to a sentence that is far less than 120 months, and they don't want to take that risk.

I think that's an improper motive, and I will place it on the record.

THE COURT: Thank you. The court will publish an opinion, and I'm not going to take the time to read the

12:55:52 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:55:01 10

12:55:16 15

12:55:34 20

12:54:44

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

entire opinion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

12:56:30 10

12:56:44 15

12:56:53 20

12:57:09 25

12:56:13

But the court has concluded that a downward departure of two levels is justified, so that changes the sentencing range. It was previously 151 to 188 months. The new sentencing range is 121 to 151 months.

And before I decide what the sentence would be, I will give counsel an opportunity to argue, and also, of course, Ms. Richardson has the opportunity to speak to the court as she so wishes.

Does the government have anything they wish to add with respect to the sentencing between the range of 121 to 151 months?

MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, for the reasons stated before, the government feels that a sentence at the high end of the range you have now set would be the only sentence justified in this case.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counselor, do you want to speak first, or do you want your client to speak first?

MR. BRYAN: Your Honor, I will allow

Ms. Richardson to have the -- I will let her speak first.

THE COURT: Ms. Richardson, the law provides that before the court sentences a defendant, the defendant has a right to speak to the court, not required to, but you have -- you have the right to speak to the court and address

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 the question of how I should use my discretion in this 2 matter. 3 And if you wish to speak, I am prepared to listen 4 to you. You can remain seated. I think it would be easier 12:57:28 to pick you up on the mic. I appreciate the fact that you 6 were prepared to stand, but you can remain seated. 7 8 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I want to apologize to 9 S.J. because I did not know that she was a minor. And I guess I should apologize to the State of Ohio for trying to 12:57:55 10 11 pay bills. I never hurt anyone doing what I was doing. 12 Girls even called on a daily basis for me to get work for 13 them. Because we -- you know, we had downtime. 14 I didn't do this for no more than 13 months, and 12:58:25 15 that's not even a 13-month-straight thing, because it was 16 like 30, 45, 60 days where we will go and don't even get 17 appointments. 18 Everything was 50/50. No one was forced. We got 19 along. We never got hurt by anyone. Not no appointments, 12:58:49 20 no one got hurt. 21 I guess -- and S.J., she was young, and I didn't 2.2 know even -- I didn't even know -- believe it until after I 23 was arrested and in custody. She left me the message. I 24 didn't believe it then. I mean, the attorney that I had before these attorneys, she was telling me, and I'm like, 12:59:15 25 Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

13:00:15 10

13:00:42 15

13:01:14 20

13:01:35 25

12:59:34

there's no way, you know, because I've been around this girl. I'm here because I misjudged her. I didn't know, and that was part of my job, was to know. I wouldn't put a child in a situation like that.

I need to apologize to my family and my son. I need to apologize to my son, Requan, because I'm a mother, I'm a mother, and I'm a good mother. And I wouldn't -- I mean, I wouldn't -- I wouldn't do this to no child. I've been there. You know, it's crazy. Like this stuff is like a bad dream, all these people I'm meeting, my lawyers, the prosecutor, FBI agents, and this is like TV stuff.

You know, I don't want to be the bad guy here.

All I wanted to do was like, you know, pay my rent, get a landlord. I don't have nobody else to go live with. I got to have a place for me and my son to live, put food on the table, keep the light and the gas bill on.

I mean, this girl that I met, and it was terrible that I met her, so terrible, because like she told -- she say, "Look, I'm doing this and how I'm working here now, so, you know, I heard about what you do. A lot of people talk about you all the time, the girls you work for, they looking nice, they driving nice, they eating good. I get cheated out of my money here. I don't even get my money here."

She approached me more than twice to work for me, and I wished I would never, ever worked with this girl.

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

2.2

13:02:18 10

13:02:52 15

13:03:18 20

13:03:50 25

13:01:57

Because I wouldn't do that. I wouldn't. That's not my thing.

You know, I was just looking forward to being like a grandmother. I was so happy that my daughter's life was taking off so beautifully and she getting married, getting -- about to be a grandmother, because this is different and I experienced a different feeling from being a mother to a grandmother. I just wanted to, whatever mistakes that I made being a mother, I learned, so I can be a better grandmother. And that's all I wanted to do, is be close to my children and be there for them as a mother and make up for them three years that I did mess up.

This agent -- I mean, this prosecutor, he feels like there's no other underaged kid, you know. I was trying to be careful and keep us all safe. All we wanted to do was pay our bills. This is what we did, and it was wrong, but we did it. I mean, I tried to -- I wasn't making millions, not even thousands. I was just making enough to pay the bills, because I couldn't get a job, and I tried to get a job. I love working a 9:00 to 5:00. I would love to.

I never forced anyone to do this. No one. I feel like -- hey, I am 40-something years old, you know. Ten years to me is life. Anything is life. I mean, I just got myself together right way with my kids. I got myself good with my kids. You know, they all -- they staying in school,

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

2.2

13:04:37 10

13:05:04 15

13:05:23 20

13:05:45 25

13:04:13

and I made sure of that. I made sure that they know how to take care of themselves and understand what's going on out here, and my children don't get in trouble. I kept a tight end on them before the three years in prison and after the three years.

I just -- I wasn't -- I was just trying to be a mother. S.J. girl, she was around my son. You know, there's no -- I know now that she was underage. I know that now, but I keep thinking back, like how the hell did I miss it? How did I miss it? Because I didn't know, and that's why I am in trouble right now. And I got to be tooken away from my kids and my granddaughter, and to see my daughter as a wife. And my grandmother is 90-some years old; my aunt. This is all that I have. I don't have anything else. I didn't go out and rob anyone, shoot or kill. They said I had a gun. Never had a gun. I don't even like firecrackers.

So just the nature of this case, it makes it so, like, huge worldwide, because it was a minor involved. It ain't even about the prostitution, because it's about just a minor being involved and just being in grown-up places and stuff.

And I was there with her, not knowing that she was a minor, and it's costing me so much, and I feel like -- I mean, I feel like really, really bad that I did not know,

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

2.2

13:06:32 10

13:06:57 15

13:07:13 20

13:07:32 25

13:06:10

Your Honor. I feel so terrible that I did not know about S.J.'s age.

And I never did any harm to her. Looking at her as an adult, I never put my hands on her. I never disrespected her. I never did anything to her. This is brought about because I smelled like chemicals coming out of her body, which is what they call wet PCP. I felt no longer to work with her anymore, because she wasn't right. She was doing drugs. I didn't want to work with her no more.

And this was before July 4th of 2011. She was smoking water. I don't want to work with nobody that's doing stuff like that, because it brings other problems, and I don't do drugs like that. I fired her. I said -- I told her, I was like, "I don't think we could work together anymore."

And during like a week after that, she broke into my house because she knew that I wouldn't be there at that time. She knew that my son would be with his dad. She broke into my house. As soon as I pulled on the street, like three neighbors of mine run out of their homes saying, "Hey, that girl just with a truck and two guys and a girl, they just like -- they just was banging on your door real hard, and they took something out your house and ran down the street -- she drove off down the street." It's a one-way street. She did it in broad daylight for all the

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

neighbors to see.

13:08:15 10

13:08:35 15

13:08:57 20

13:09:19 25

13:07:50

And I just called the police right away. If I am doing something like this with a minor, I mean, why would I call -- why would I call the police on her? I didn't know she was a minor.

But even still, everything still falls back to me being -- trying to go make money without having the rights to run a business. All I was thinking about, paying the light bill and the gas bill and food on the table; and my son, he's 14, 13. This guy wore a size 12 in shoes, in shoes. I mean, he played foodball. That's -- you know, I have to just try to keep a good -- keep him right and out of trouble.

Because if my child was somewhere out there

doing -- and if my child was somewhere and I ain't even know

where my kid was, I would go find my child. I will find my

child and know what's going on with my child. No matter -
I wouldn't go -- I wouldn't even get no sleep. I got to

know where my child is.

I don't even know how I -- I got wrapped up -- I don't know how I didn't see that this girl was underaged, because this is a nightmare for me that's going to haunt me. And I am sorry to her, because I wouldn't have never involved her in anything if I didn't know she was -- I thought she was an adult. I would have never take a child

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

and do something like that.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

24

13:10:02 10

13:10:19 15

13:09:41

I met her, and I am going to say it, I met her in an after-hours spot sucking a man's dick in a dick sucking contest. That's how I met her. So how am I -- I thought she was bad business from that point on, because after the contest, knowing that she working for this Tokyo person at his house three streets from my house, she comes and asks me to work for me being disrespectful to him. That could cause problems for me again.

And that was the first time I ignored her, and I wished I would have just kept ignoring her. And she looked different each and every time you see her. She looks different.

THE COURT: Thank you for your statement.

It will be the sentence of this court that you be committed to the Bureau of Prisons for a period of 132 months.

That you be on supervised release upon release from imprisonment for a term of five years.

You shall pay a special assessment to the United States of \$100, which shall be due immediately.

While on supervision, the defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime, shall not illegally possess a controlled substance, shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

13:10:54 25

1 and shall comply with the following additional conditions: Mandatory drug testing. The defendant shall 2 refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance and 3 submit to one drug test within 15 days of the commencement 4 of supervision, and to at least two periodic drug tests 13:11:08 5 thereafter determined by the pretrial services and probation 6 officer. 7 8 Firearms and dangerous weapons. The defendant 9 shall not possess a firearm, destructive device or any 13:11:21 10 dangerous weapon. 11 Search and seizure. The defendant shall submit 12 her person, residence, computer or vehicle to a warrantless 13 search conducted and controlled by the United States 14 Probation Officer at a reasonable time and in a reasonable 13:11:33 15 manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or 16 evidence of a violation of a condition of release. Failure 17 to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation. 18 The defendant shall inform any other residents 19 that the purposes -- that the premises may be subject to a 13:11:47 20 search pursuant to this condition. 21 Financial disclosure. The defendant shall provide 2.2 the probation officer with access to any requested financial 23 information. 24 Financial restrictions. The defendant shall not 13:12:00 25 incur now credit charges or open additional lines of credit

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

without the approval of a probation officer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

13:12:31 10

13:12:46 15

13:12:58 20

13:13:13 25

13:12:16

Drug treatment and testing. The defendant shall participate in an approved program of outpatient, inpatient or detoxification substance abuse treatment, which will include drug and alcohol testing to determine if the defendant has reverted to substance abuse.

Sex Offender Registration Notification Act (Adam Walsh Act). Pursuant to 18, United States Code, Section 3583, the defendant is required to register under the Sex Offender Registration Notification Act and must comply with the requirements of that act as directed by the probation officer.

Pursuant to the Adam Walsh Child Protection Act of 2006, the defendant shall register as a sexual offender not less than three business days from her release from custody. The defendant will keep the registration current in each jurisdiction in which she resides, is employed or is a student.

The defendant shall, no later than three business days after each change in name, residence and employment or student status, appear in person to at least one jurisdiction in which she is registered and inform the jurisdiction of all changes and reporting information.

Failure to do so may be a violation of her condition of supervised release and may be a new federal

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

offense punishable by up to ten years.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

13:14:01 10

13:14:12 15

13:14:28 20

13:14:44 25

13:13:34

Mental health treatment. The defendant shall participate in an outpatient mental health treatment program as directed by the probation officer.

DNA collection. The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.

Minor Protection and Restriction Program.

Defendant will abide by all rules of the Minor Protection and Restriction Program of the United States Pretrial Services and Probation Office.

Defendant shall submit to a mental health evaluation and sex offender assessment as directed by the probation officer.

Defendant shall participate in any treatment program, including for sexual deviancy, which may include polygraph testing recommended by these evaluations.

The defendant shall submit to periodic polygraph testing as directed by the probation officer. No violation proceedings will be based solely upon the results of the polygraph examination or a valid Fifth Amendment refusal to answer a polygraph question.

The defendant shall not have any contact with the victim or victim's family, including letters, communication devices, audio or visual devices, business or any contact through a third party without prior written consent of the

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

	1	probation officer.
	2	The defendant will not possess any type of camera,
	3	photograph device and/or equipment, including video
	4	recording equipment, without the written approval of the
13:14:58	5	probation officer.
	6	The defendant should understand that if you wish
	7	to appeal your conviction and sentence, you must file a
	8	notice of appeal within ten days.
	9	Do you understand?
13:15:15	10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, and I will.
	11	THE COURT: Is there anything further that the
	12	court should consider in this sentencing?
	13	MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, just the only other item was
	14	in paragraph 22 of the plea agreement, that the defendant
13:15:24	15	had agreed to the forfeiture of \$3,000. We would ask you to
	16	order that.
	17	And also, the United States would move to dismiss
	18	Counts 2 through 7.
	19	THE COURT: The forfeiture of \$3,000 will be
13:15:36	20	ordered as involved is that in the plea agreement?
	21	MR. BRYAN: It is, Your Honor.
	22	THE COURT: And the other charges will be
	23	dismissed.
	24	MR. SULLIVAN: If we could ask, Judge, there's
13:15:51	25	computer equipment and stuff with contraband on it, just ask
		Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

	1	for an order for that to be destroyed by the FBI.
	2	THE COURT: Yeah. Give me a written order, if you
	3	would.
	4	MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Judge.
13:16:01	5	THE COURT: Does the defendant have a place where
	6	she prefer the court to recommend for incarceration?
	7	MR. BRYAN: Yes, Your Honor. Two of her children
	8	now reside in Florida. We would ask that Your Honor
	9	recommend that the nearest available facility to northern
13:16:18	10	Florida. I believe it's Jacksonville, Florida.
	11	THE COURT: The court will make that
	12	recommendation. Is there a backup recommendation? Because
	13	they don't necessarily follow what I recommend.
	14	MR. BRYAN: Then the nearest to the Northern
13:16:31	15	District of Ohio, because that's where the rest of her
	16	family is.
	17	THE COURT: All right.
	18	MR. BRYAN: So either Ohio or Florida.
	19	THE COURT: We will do that.
13:16:35	20	MR. BRYAN: We would ask for credit for time since
	21	July 14, which was the date of her arrest.
	22	THE COURT: Credit will be provided with respect
	23	to the sentence for that time she's been in custody.
	24	Anything further to come before the court?
13:16:52	25	MR. BRYAN: Apparently, the PSR says that she was
		Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

	1	arrested on the 18th, but that was when she was first in
	2	federal custody, but she was arrested on this case on the
	3	14th.
	4	THE COURT: The 14th of
13:17:05	5	MR. BRYAN: July, 2011.
	6	THE COURT: So she's been in custody for well over
	7	a year then.
	8	MR. BRYAN: Right, Your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: And also that PSR amendment reflected
13:17:14	10	that date as well.
	11	If Mr. Riffle
	12	MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, I'm not sure if you can give
	13	credit for state time. I mean, if it was original
	14	THE COURT: She doesn't get credit until she's in
13:17:24	15	federal custody. When did she come into federal custody?
	16	MR. BRYAN: I believe on the 18th is when she had
	17	her first appearance. But she was arrested on the 14th by
	18	the federal agents.
	19	THE COURT: We will make it the 14th of July, you
13:17:37	20	say, 2011?
	21	MR. BRYAN: Yes.
	22	THE COURT: That's a lot of time to be credited
	23	for.
	24	Anything further?
13:17:45	25	MR. SULLIVAN: It's a difference of four days,
		Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

	1	Your Honor. It's the 18th or the 14th. She was arrested
	2	locally, and she was charged federally on the 18th.
	3	For purposes of appeal, I don't think that you can
	4	give credit for
13:17:57	5	THE COURT: That's true. She didn't come into
	6	federal custody until the 18th of July. That's when she
	7	will be given credit for that time.
	8	MR. BRYAN: Only, she didn't wasn't subjected
	9	to a state sentence. I mean, she ultimately
13:18:10 1	. 0	THE COURT: It still starts when you're in federal
1	.1	custody. You can't get credit for time in state custody. I
1	.2	can't do anything about that.
1	.3	As a matter of fact, if I say otherwise, the
1	. 4	Bureau of Prisons will overrule me anyway, so there's no
13:18:25 1	.5	sense in getting an incorrect order.
1	. 6	Anything further?
1	.7	MR. BRYAN: Just that, I guess, the four days
1	. 8	could be taken into consideration as part of your sentence,
1	. 9	Your Honor, instead of 132, but we don't sentence people to
13:18:46 2	0	days, we sentence people to months.
2	1	THE COURT: Yeah. I can't modify the sentence by
2	2	that.
2	:3	Now, I would assume that Ms. Richardson wishes to
2	4	file a notice of appeal. She filed a lengthy pro se written
13:19:05 2	:5	document that I think I have described earlier when she
		Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 asked to withdraw her guilty plea, at least I read it to be that. And I also understood she asked me for new counsel, 2 3 both of which I overruled. 4 And, Ms. Richardson, I have to tell you, I don't 13:19:23 5 know how you could have gotten two lawyers that worked harder for you than these two, but counsel may wish that I 6 7 appoint someone else to represent her on appeal. I think 8 that might be appropriate. 9 MR. BRYAN: Your Honor, we will file the notice of appeal, and then we will indicate in the notice whether or 13:19:36 10 11 not other counsel should be assigned. 12 THE COURT: Very well. I will leave that to you. 13 Anything further? 14 MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you, Judge. 13:19:45 15 THE COURT: Court will be in recess. Thank you. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case	e: 1:11-cr-00368-DDD Doc #: 96 Filed: 01/08/13 54 of 54. PageID #: 1252 54
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
4	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled
5	matter.
6	
7	
8	s/Lori A. Callahan Lori Ann Callahan, RMR-CRR
9	U.S. District Court, Suite 568 2 South Main Street
10	Akron, Ohio 44308 (330) 252-6022
11	(330) 232 0022
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022